**INTERIM REPORTS**

HLC relies on frequent contact with affiliated institutions to ensure quality higher education. Between comprehensive evaluations, institutions maintain an ongoing accreditation status by notifying HLC of substantive change, filing required reports, and hosting any necessary visits.

HLC may require interim reports in circumstances where HLC has concluded that it should review the institution’s progress in addressing an issue at the institution, the resolution of which is relevant to the institution’s future compliance with, or improvement regarding, the Criteria for Accreditation.

**POLICY**

**ROUTINE MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION**

NUMBER: INST.F.10.010

**Monitoring on Pathways.** An institution on the Standard, Open, or AQIP Pathway may be required to file one or more interim reports. An institution on the Standard or AQIP Pathway may be required to host one or more focused visits. Such monitoring shall be appropriate in circumstances where the team has concluded that HLC should review the institution’s progress in addressing a serious issue at the institution, the resolution of which is relevant to the institution’s future compliance with, or improvement regarding, the Criteria for Accreditation. HLC staff may seek external assistance from peer reviewers or individuals with appropriate expertise who do not participate as peer reviewers in the evaluation process related to monitoring but provide particularized advice and assistance where appropriate to HLC staff or evaluation team members.

**Other Monitoring.** An institution, regardless of its pathway, is always subject to monitoring in the form of interim reports or focused evaluations related to review by HLC of the following: financial and non-financial indicators; a change of control, structure or organization transaction; substantive change; complaints; conformity with Assumed Practices; or other HLC investigation or review.

**Process for Requiring Monitoring.** An evaluation team or staff may recommend that an institution be required to file an interim report or host a focused on-site evaluation on one or more topics. An appropriate decision-making body, or HLC staff where allowed by HLC policy, shall determine whether the monitoring is appropriate for the institution, and, if so, shall act to approve such monitoring.

For an institution that is being considered for initial accreditation, such monitoring shall be appropriate in conjunction with the grant of initial accreditation only when the monitoring is with regard to a discrete issue and does not call into the question the institution’s compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation, in which case the institution will not be granted initial accreditation.
**Interim Reports.** An institution shall submit a required interim report according to the due date established in the action calling for the interim report. Staff will review and prepare a written analysis of all reports and may act on behalf of HLC to accept the report or require additional reports on the same or related topic or may recommend to HLC’s decision-making bodies that further monitoring, including new interim reports or focused visits, as appropriate to the institution’s Pathway assignment, be required on the same topics identified in the action or on other topics.

HLC’s complete policies are available at [hlcommission.org](http://hlcommission.org).

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR INSTITUTIONS**

Institutions are notified of a required interim report either through staff action or an Action Letter. The HLC letter will identify the due date, the related Core Components and the areas of focus. **Note:** Institutions should also refer to the team report that originally recommended the required interim report for more direction in addressing the specific Core Components and areas of focus.

**WRITING THE INTERIM REPORT**

Interim reports should contain no more than a seven-page narrative plus appendices for each area of focus addressed. Institutions should include the following information in an interim report.

1. The first page of the report should clearly state the institution name, CEO and date submitted.
   - **Institution:** Neverland University
   - **Chief Executive Officer:** Samuel Shady
   - **Date Submitted:** November 1, 2016

2. The first page of the report should clearly state the following information as stated in the HLC letter.
   - **Action:** Identify the report topics from the HLC letter.
   - **Core Components:** Identify the specific Core Components (or Assumed Practices or Federal Compliance issues) that represent the focus of the interim report.
   - **Areas of Focus:** Describe the specific concerns or weaknesses noted in the letter.

3. While each report is unique given the varying nature of the areas of focus, the most effective interim reports are concisely written, jargon-free and present clearly the following information:
   - Timelines critical to understanding the institution’s efforts.
   - A narrative that provides an overview of the issues and references to supporting documentation.
   - Consistent use of HLC and institution-specific terminology.
   - Supporting documentation that is relevant and thorough.

**SUBMITTING REQUIRED REPORTS**

Institutions should email interim reports to [statusreport@hlcommission.org](mailto:statusreport@hlcommission.org) on or before the due date.

**EMBEDDED MONITORING**

HLC may embed monitoring in a comprehensive evaluation or a focused visit. In such cases, the issues subject to embedded monitoring are to be addressed by the institution in the sections on the applicable Core Components in its Assurance Argument, Quality Highlights Report or focused visit report. The peer review team is to ascertain whether the institution has satisfactorily addressed the monitoring issue(s) and will document its findings in the conclusion section of the team report for the review.

**REVIEW PROCESS**

The staff analysis (or peer review team in the case of embedded monitoring) ascertains whether the institution has satisfactorily addressed the monitoring issue(s) and will document its findings in the conclusion section of the evaluation report. Staff analysis resulting in a letter of receipt is considered official HLC correspondence and a successful completion of the interim report process.
If the analysis ascertains that the institution has **not** satisfactorily addressed the monitoring issue, additional monitoring will be required.

**RECORDS**

The institution’s interim report, HLC analysis report and the HLC letter stating receipt or recommendation for additional monitoring will become a part of HLC’s official file. HLC’s analysis report and the HLC letter of receipt is shared with the next peer review team conducting an evaluation.

**FEES**

Fees for interim reports are outlined in HLC’s Dues and Fees Schedule at [hlcommission.org/dues](http://hlcommission.org/dues).

**QUESTIONS?**

Contact the institution’s HLC staff liaison.